Behaviour of rods during striking interaction with the screen protection of an explosive substance

Svetlana A. Afanasyeva, Nicolay N. Belov, Nicolay T. Yugov

Scientific-research institute of applied mathematics and mechanics of Tomsk State University 634050, Tomsk, Russia

The given work is aimed at the numerical study of particularities of the striking interaction of cylindrical rods made of metalloceramics TiB2+Fe in comparison with rods made of steel and tungsten-nickel-iron (TNI) at the interaction with space-separated multilayer plates, shielding an explosive substance. The solution of the problem is done in full three-dimensional production within the framework of mathematical model [1].

For estimation of initiating abilities of an explosive substance at shock-wave loading (when $p > p_{\min}$) there was used the criterion of detonation initiation in the form of [2]:

$$\int_{t_0}^t p^2 dt = K$$

where K - a constant of the material, P- pressure in an explosive substance, p_{\min} - a minimum pressure, at which detonation initiation in an explosive substance occurs.

The first screen consists of a 10 mm asbotextolite layer and a 3 mm duralumin substrate. The second screen made of 10 mm steel is located at 10 mm from the first one. Further at the distance of 50 mm is located a 30 mm charge of an explosive substance protected with a layer of 3 mm duralumin and 20 mm foam plastic.

The screens are located at some angles α_i to axis of a cylindrical rod. The strikers had similar geometry (d₀=4,5 mm, L₀=105 mm). The calculations were carried out within the range of impact velocities V₀=1...6 km/s and angles of approach to screens $\alpha_i = 30^\circ$, 45°.

Results of mathematical modeling of the process of the striking interaction of a steel rod with screens at impact velocity 1 km/s are presented on the. At $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 30^\circ$ its ricochet and destruction occurred. A crater of the depth h/d₀=0,5 was formed in the steel screen. At angles $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 45^\circ$ in the second steel screen the rod formed a crater of the depth h/d₀=1,13 and stopped.

Figure 2 shows the interaction of the steel rod with screens at impact velocities 2 km/s (t=122 mks, the top figure), 4 km/s (t=51 mks, the central figure) and 6 km/s (t=33 mks, the bottom figure).

There was a research of influence of the rod material on the breach process of the protective construction and detonation initiation in an explosive substance at impact velocity 2 km/s and angles of the approach 30° .



Figure 1.





Figure 3 shows configurations of TiB_2 +Fe, steel and TNI rods during penetration into the protective construction. Having similar geometries, the rods possess different masses, hence different kinetic energy.

At the breach of the first two-layer screen the most loss of velocity was observed in the metalloceramics rod $V/V_0 = 0.93$, the least – in the TNI rod $V/V_0 = 0.97$. At the breach of the first screen the metalloceramics rod loses only 4% of its mass while the steel rod - 15%. At the same time the relative length L/L_0 of the metalloceramics rod decreased at 10%, theTNI rod - at 20%, and the steel rod - at 27%. After the second steel screen the velocity of the metalloceramics rod decreased up to 55% of impact velocity, the steel rod – up to 72%, and the velocity of the TNI rod remainder decreased up to 93%. After the second screen the relative length of the steel rod was 20% of the initial length, the length of TNI and metalloceramics rods 47% and 49% accordingly. The steel striker lost 69% of its mass while metalloceramics and TNI rods lost about 40%.

In all cases there occurred detonation initiation of an explosive substance.



The work was carried out with financial support of the Russian fund of the fundamental studies (the codes of the projects: 07-01-00414a, 08-01-00268a) and Ministry of Science Education of RF RNP 2.1.1/4147.

References

[1] Belov N.N. Dynamics of the high-speed impact and accompanying physical phenomena /N.N. Belov, N.T. Yugov, D.G. Kopanitsa [and others] // Northhampton; Tomsk: STT - 2005. – 356.

[2] Pilcher D. Comparison of theory with the experiment results on conversion of combustion into detonation /D. Pilcher, M. Bekstend, L. Kristensen [and others] //Detonation and explosive substances.
- M: Mir - 1981. - 306-322.